### UDC (UDK) UDK 633.511:581.1(549)

# Raheel Atif HAMEED and Hakoomat ALI<sup>1</sup>

# QUANTITATIVE PHYSIOLOGICAL, VEGETATIVE, AND REPRODUCTIVE ANALYSIS IN Gossypium hirsutum UNDER INFLUENCE OF CULTIVARS AND NITROGEN

#### SUMMARY

A two year field study was carried out to know the impact of cultivars selection and nitrogen on growth and development of cotton (Gosssypium hirsutum) plant under semi arid conditions of Punjab, Pakistan. Nitrogen and cultivar selection plays an important role in determination of plant yield under various environmental circumstances. It is the basic constituent of plant green material and in nature. Various treatments of nitrogen were Zero, 60, 110 and 160 kg ha-1 applied in splits to the soil along with high yielding cotton cultivars (CIM-496, CIM-506, and CIM-534). The highest significant vegetative quality traits of cotton i.e. plants main stem height, nodes per plant were produced by the cultivar CIM-534 during 2007 and 2008. However, physiological parameters like leaf area index (LAI), crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR), reproductive parameters such as total dry biomass (TDM), cotton bolls count m-2 and seed cotton yield were produced significantly the maximum by CIM-496 cultivar. Vegetative, reproductive and physiological traits were directly proportional to the increasing N rates ha-1. For better yield and yield components, nitrogen given at the rate of 160 kg ha-1 proved to be the optimum under semi arid conditions.

Keywords: Cultivars, *Gossypium hirsutum*, Growth, Nitrogen, Yield and Yield components.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

To minimize a big gap between potential and farm, fertilizer, soil and crop management practices are the most important factors. To feed the increasing population day by day, there is a big need to overcome this difference. In reduction of financial crises, unemployment and poverty, cotton played an important role. It enhanced industrial development, raw material for national and international spindles and oil expelling units, industries all over the world [2]. The scientists conducted comprehensive research work to prove that growth and development activities plays an important role to regulate plant growth, plant vegetative, reproductive and cotton boll load that resulted in increased yield per unit area. Judicious fertilizer use, improved agronomic techniques increased crop yield significantly [34]. Cultivar selection and nitrogen given to soil at various

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Raheel Atif Hameed (corresponding author: rahb100@yahoo.com) and Hakoomat Ali Department of Agronomy, University College of Agriculture, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan.

critical growth stages is essential to meet the yield requirements of the crop through out the growth period [29], [36]. Deficient supply of the nitrogen may reduce or even cease all development process of the crop plant that may leads to significant yield loss [20]. Excessive vegetative growth attracts sucking insect pests, diseases attack that resulted in delayed crop and fruit maturity that reduce yield [23]. However, a field study was designed to measure the individual and interactive affects of cultivars and nitrogen on growth, and yield and yield components of cotton.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted at the farm area of The Central Cotton Research Institute (CCRI), Multan, Pakistan. Four various nitrogen application rates (i.e. Zero, 60, 110 and 160 kg ha-1) were applied with CIM-496, CIM-506, and CIM-534 cotton cultivars during the two growing seasons (2007 and 2008) on a sandy loam soil. Field experiment was laid out in R.C.B.D. (randomized complete block design) design with split plot arrangements. All the cultivars were grown in the main plots while nitrogen was kept in the sub plots. 9 m x 3.3 m was the net plot size during both the years with 75 cm bed and furrows apart and plant to plant distance was maintained to 23 cm. A good quality seed bed was properly shaped with a bed shaper. Before the field experiment soil analysis was done that is given in Table 1.

Characteristics	Ι		II	
Chemical analysis	15 cm	30 cm	15 cm	30 cm
O. M (%)	0.66	0.60	0.63	0.62
SAR	2.45	1.72	2.53	1.79
Soil pH	8.08	8.16	8.10	8.14
$EC_e (dS m^{-1})$	2.29	1.70	2.32	1.80
P (ppm)	7	4	8	7
K ( ppm )	100	90	102	91
Physical analysis	15 cm	30 cm	15 cm	30 cm
Sand (%)	15	16	15	16
Silt (%)	60	59	58	60
Clay (%)	26	24	25	26
Textural class	Silt loam			

Table 1. Soil analysis

Dibbling method for seed sowing was done while, thinning was done after three weeks of seed sowing to maintain the required number and distance between the plants. All the P2O5 (i.e. 50 kg ha-1) in the form of Triple Super Phosphate (TSP) was applied at the seed sowing. Five free from insect pest attack, healthy, disease free plants were selected to calculate the data from all the plots of each treatment. Agronomic practices were kept the same for all the treatments through out the crop growth and development period. After 150 days of crop sowing, seed cotton was picked in two pickings while the second picking was done 180 days after sowing. Leaf, stem, flower and boll samples were oven dried at 80°C taken from 1m2 for measurement of leaf area index, plant biomass, relative growth rate, crop growth rate, and net assimilation rate by [30] methods. From 1m2 number of bolls while, seed cotton sample was taken, air dried and ginned for GOT percentage calculation. Field results were analyzed by using "MSTAT" statistical techniques and at 5% probability level, a least significant difference (LSD) test was applied to check the significance of treatment means [39].

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Plant height (cm)

In cotton main stem height is the main vegetative growth parameter that directly represents the fertilizer effect. Various nitrogen rates affected plant height significantly. Nitrogen applied at various crop growth stages showed direct relationship to the plant height (Fig.1). At various application rates Fertilizer applied through soil significantly influenced the plant height of cotton cultivars. Respectively in the two years, against untreated control, nitrogen given at the rate of 160 kg ha-1 produced 54% and 49% taller plants. All the cotton cultivars showed their significant effect on plant height at crop final harvest. CIM-534 produced significantly the tallest plants among all the cultivars while, the smallest plants achieved by CIM-506 [14], [19].



Figure 1. Effect of nitrogen and cultivars on plant height during I & II year

### Number of nodes plant-1

Results indicated that as plant heights increased number of nodes increased and increase in number of plant nodes is directly proportional to the plant height. Number of nodes increased significantly as rate on nitrogen applied to soil increased. It was shown by the results that a significant interaction existed between nitrogen and cultivars. During both the years, CIM-534 cultivar produced the highest significant nodes count as compared to the other two cultivars while, CIM-506 produced the lowest number of nodes. These results are in line with those of [20].

### Leaf area index (LAI)

Nitrogen applied to cotton cultivars at different application rates catalysed significantly many physiological processes. Both nitrogen and cultivars significantly affected the leaf area index during the two years of field crop research. Treatment 160 kg nitrogen ha-1 produced significantly the highest LAI through out the plant growth against untreated control.



Figure 2. Effect of nitrogen and cultivars on nodes plant<sup>-1</sup> during I & II year

Among all the cultivars, CIM-496 produced the highest significant LAI. From the fig-3, it is obvious that leaf area index increased up to 90 days after crop sowing. LAI started to decrease as crop reproductive growth stage converted to crop maturity. Thus, after 90 DAS all the cultivars achieved their maximum canopy. Similar results were reported by other scientists [35], [38].



Figure 3. Effect of DAS and nitrogen rates on LAI during I & II year

## Crop growth rate (gm<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>)

All the cultivars and various nitrogen doses increased crop growth rate (CGR) significantly. CGR was enhanced significantly with each increment in

nitrogen application rate i.e. from zero to 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> from crop sowing to the crop harvest. However, the highest CGR was obtained by the treatment (160 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup>) against control. Similarly, cultivar CIM-496 achieved the top position in production of the highest crop growth rate during both the seasons. It was also observed that crop growth rate was the maximum after 120 days of sowing and then decreased upto crop maturity [6], [28].





# **Relative growth rate** $(g g^{-1} d^{-1})$

Relative growth rate (RGR) was altered significantly by the cultivars and nitrogen fertilizer through out the crop growth. 160 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment produced significantly the maximum RGR against control treatment from seedling emergence to the crop final harvest while, the RGR was highest after 90 DAS during both the years and then continuously decreased till crop harvest. As compared to CIM-506 and CIM-534, cultivar CIM-496 appeared with the maximum value of RGR through out the growing period. Similar findings were produced by [23].



Figure 5. Effect of DAS and nitrogen rates on CGR during I & II year

# Net assimilation rate (mg dm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>)

Cultivars and fertilizer nitrogen affected significantly cotton crop net assimilation rate (NAR) from 30 to 150 DAS. The maximum amount of nitrogen given to crop produced the highest significant NAR. However, it was observed

that cotton net assimilation rate was the highest during early crop growth stages then after it started to decrease as crop matured.



Figure 6. Effect of DAS and cotton cultivars on CGR during I & II year

Similarly, NAR of all the cultivars was the maximum during vegetative crop growth stages and then as fruit load increase it continuously decreased till crop final harvest. Again the cultivar CIM-496 produced the highest value of NAR against CIM-506 during the whole crop growing season [15], [32].

### Total dry matter (gm<sup>-2</sup>)

Analyzed results indicated that total dry biomass (TDM) significantly influenced both by the cultivars and nitrogen fertilizer. Each nitrogen application treatment produced significant TDM accumulation against control. However, the highest total dry plant biomass was produced by 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment at crop harvest against zero nitrogen treatment respectively in two different seasons. As concerned with the cultivars, CIM-506 produced the highest significant weight of dry biomass as compared to the other cultivars [17], [35].



Figure 7. Effect of DAS and nitrogen rates on RGR during I & II year



Figure 8. Effect of DAS and cotton cultivars on RGR during I & II year

#### Cotton bolls (m<sup>-2</sup>)

Cotton fruit production is directly associated with the availability of the balanced soil nutrients and cultivar selection. With increase in rate of nitrogen given to soil influenced the boll load significantly. As nitrogen rate increased fruit retention increased significantly and vice versa. Field research regarding bolls count showed that treatment i.e. 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest significant results i.e. 55% and 53% more m<sup>-2</sup> against control treatment in the two years. The maximum number of cotton fruits i.e.120.3 and 122.7 m<sup>-2</sup> were produced by the cultivars CIM-496 in both the years respectively while CIM-506 appeared with the lowest numbers of bolls. Other researchers [9], [27] reported the similar results.



Figure 9. Effect of DAS and nitrogen rates on NAR during I & II year

### Seed cotton yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Judicious and timely application of nutrients to the soil has significant effect on seed cotton yield. In scarcity or absence of the plant nutrients, a visible reduction in yield had been noticed by many researches. However, there is no substitute of it only by the application of that specific nutrient yield reduction can be recovered. Results of field yields during the two years trails showed that increasing rates of nitrogen increased significantly seed cotton Significantly the highest seed cotton yield (i.e. 3445.7 and 3536.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in both the crop growth seasons by the application of 160 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> against control treatment that was 63% and 64% more. However, various cultivars showed their maximum potential in producing the yield. Cotton cultivar CIM-496 produced the highest significant seed cotton yield (3124.6 and 3227.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) while, CIM-506 produced the lowest yield during both the years. Similar results were reported by [34], [36].



Figure 10. Effect of DAS and cotton cultivars on NAR during I & II year



Figure 11. Effect of nitrogen rates and cotton cultivars on TDM during I&II year



Figure 12. Effect of nitrogen rates and cotton cultivars on bolls during I & II year



Figure 13. Effect of nitrogen rates and cotton cultivars on seed cotton yield during I & II year.

### CONCLUSIONS

It may be concluded from the results that vegetative, reproductive and physiological processes in cotton may be significantly increased by the judicious use of nitrogen and cultivar selection.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Malak, K.K.I., F.E. Radwan and S.I. Baslious. 1997. Effect of row width, hill spacing and nitrogen levels on seed cotton yield of Giza 83 cotton cultivar. Egyp. J. of Agri. Res., 75: 743-752.
- [2] Ahmed, A. U. H., R. Ali, S. I. Zamir and N. Mehmood (2009). Growth, yield and quality performance of cotton cultivar BH-160 (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*). The J. Anim. Plant Sci., 19(4):189-192.
- [3] Ali, H., D. Muhammad, M. N. Afzal and S. A. Abid. 2005. Seedcotton yield of different cultivars as affected by sowing time under agro-climatic conditions of southern Punjab. The Indus Cotton. 2 (3): 186-89.
- [4] Ali, H., M. N. Afzal, S. Ahmad and D. Muhammad. 2009. Effect of cultivars and sowing dates on yield and quality of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. crop. J. of Food, Agriculture and Environment. 7(3&4): 244-47.
- [5] Ali, H., M. N. Afzal, S. Ahmad, D. Muhammad, Z. Husnain, R. Perveen and M. H. Kazmi. 2010. Quantitative and qualitative traits of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. as affected by agronomic practices. J of Food, Agriculture and Environment. 8 (3&4): 945-48.
- [6] Anjum, F. H., A, Tanveer, R. Ahmad, A. Ali, M. A. Nadeem and M. Tahir. 2007. Respose of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) to split application of nitrogen and weed control methods. Ind. J. of Agri. Sci., 77 (4): 224-9.
- [7] Barnes, M. and C. W. Herndon, Jr. (1997). Causal factors of cotton quality discount and premiums in the mid-south: 1973–1995. In Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference, New Orleans, LA. 7–10 Jan. 1997. National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN: 326–330.
- [8] Bednarz G.W., R. L. Nichols and S. M. Brown. 2006. Plant density modifications of cotton within boll yield components. Crop science, 46:2076-2080.
- [9] Bednarz G.W., Shurley, W.D., Anthony, W.St. and Nichols R.L. 2005. Yield, quality and profitability of cotton produced at varying plant densities Agron. J. 97:235-240.

114	Raheel Atif HAMEED and Hakoomat ALI
[10]	Benson, N. R., E. D. Vories, F. M. Bourland and G. Palmer. 1998. Nitrogen and cultivar effects on yield and earliness of cotton on clay soils. Proceedings of the
[11]	belt wide cotton conferences, San Diego, CA, USA, January 5-9, 1999: 677-80. Boman, R. K., W. R. Raun., R. L. Westerman and J. C. Banks. 1997. Long term nitrogen fertilization in short- season cotton. Interpretation of agronomic characteristics using stability analysis. L. Prod. Agric. 10: 580-85
[12]	Bowman, D. T. 2007. Variety selection in 2007 cotton Information. North Carolina State Uni. Coop. Ext. Publ. AG-417. North Carolina State Uni., Releigh.: 27-38.
[13]	Cassman, K. G., T. A. Kerby, B. A. Roberts, D. C. Bryant and S.L.Higashi.1990.Potassium nutrition effects on limt yield and fibre quality of Acala cotton. Crop Sci. 30: 672-77.
[14]	Clawson, E.L., J.T. Cothren and D.C Blouin. 2006. Nitrogen fertilization and yield of cotton in ultra narrow row and conventional row spacings. Agron. J. 98:72-79.
[15]	Darawsheh , M. K ., Aivalakis, G. and D. L. Bouranis. 2007. Effect of cultivation system on cotton development, seed cotton production and lint quality. The European J of Plant Science and Biotechnology17 (7):206-213.
[16]	El-Debaby, A.S., G.Y. Hammam and M.A. Nagib. 1995. Effect of planting date, N and P application levels on seed index, lint percentage and technological characters of Giza-80 cotton cultivar. Annals of Agri. Sci. Moshtohor. 33 (2):455-464.
[17]	El-Zeiny, O. A. H., U. A. El-Behariy and M. H. Zaky. 2001. Influence of biofertilizer on growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato grown under plastic house I Agric Sci Mansouera Uni 26 (3) 1749-63
[18]	Faircloth, J. C. 2007. Cotton variety trails. Virginia cotton production guide. Virginia polytechnic Inst. and Stat Uni. Coop. Ext. Publ. 424-300. Virginia polytechnic Inst State Uni. Blacksburg: 8-15
[19]	Fritschi, F. B., B. A. Roberts, R.L. Travis, D. W. Rains and R. B. Hutmacher. 2003. Response of irrigated Acala and Pima cotton to nitrogen fertilization: growth. dry matter portioning and yield. Agron. J. 95:133-146.
[20]	Gerik, T. J., Jackson, B. S., Stockle, C. O., and Rosenthal, W. D. 1994. Plant nitrogen status and boll load of cotton. Agron. L86: 514-518
[21]	Hussain, S. Z., S. Faird, M. Anwar, M. I. Gill and M. D. Baugh (2000). Effect of plant density and nitrogen on the yield of seed cotton-variety CIM-443. Sarhad J. Agric. 16: 143-147.
[22]	John, J. R., K. R. Reddy and J. N. Jenkins.2006. Yield and fiber quality of upland cotton as influenced by nitrogen and potassium nutrition. Europ. J. Agron. 24:282-290.
[23]	Kandil, A. A., M.A. Bawai, S. A. EL-Moursy and U. M. A. Abdou. 2004. Effect of planting dates, nitrogen levels and bio-fertilization treatments on 1: Growth attributes of Sugar Beet (Beta vulgaris, L.). Scientific J. of King Faisal Uni. 5 (2) 1425
[24]	McConnell, J. S., W. H. Baker and B. S. Frizzell (1996). Distribution of residual nitrate-N in long term fertilization studies of an alfisol cropped for cotton. J. Environ. Qual. 25: 1389-1394.
[25]	Meredith, W. R. Jr. (1986). Fiber quality variation among USA cotton growing regions. In Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference. LasVegas, NV. 4–9 Jan 1986. National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN: 105–106.
[26]	Minton, E.B. and M. W., Ebelhar. 1991. Potassium and aldicarb-disulfoton effects

- [27] Nichols S.P., C.E. Snipes and M.A. Jones. 2004. Cotton growth, lint yield and fiber quality as affected by row spacing and cultivar. The J. of Cotton Sci., 8:1-12.
- [28] Ozgul G. 2005. Interactive effect of nitrogen and boron on cotton yield and fiber quality. Turk. J. Agric. For. 29:51-59.
- [29] Patel, R. H., G. Meisheri and J. R. Patel. 1996. Analysis of growth and productivity of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea) in relation to FYM, nitrogen and source of fertilizer. J. Agron. Crop. Sci. 177:1-8.
- [30] Pettigrew, W. T. and Jr J. J. Adamczyk. 2006. Nitrogen fertility and planting date effect on lint yield and Cry 1 AC (BT) endotoxin production. Agron., J. 98 (3): 690-7.
- [31] Radford, P. J. 1967. Growth analysis formulae-their use and abuse. Crop Sci. 7: 171-175.
- [32] Reddy, K. R., G. H. Davidsonis, A. S. Johnson and Vinyard, B. T., 1999. Temperature regime and carbon dioxide enrichment alter cotton boll development and fibre properties. Agron. J. 91, 851-8.
- [33] Reddy, K. R. and H. F. Hodges. 1998. Photosynthesis and environment factors. Proceedings of the belt wide cotton Conference, San Diego, CA. 5-9 Jan. 1998, National cotton conference, USA: 1443-49.
- [34] Reddy, K. R., S. Koti., G. H. Davidson and V. R Reddy. 2004. Interactive effects of CO2 andnitrogen nutrition on cotton growth, development, yield and fiber quality. Agron. J. 96:1148-1157.
- [35] Saleem, M. F., M. F. Bilal., M. Awais., M. Q. Shahid and S. A. Anjum. 2010. Effect of nitrogen on seed cotton yield and fiber qualities of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) cultivars. The J. of Animal and Plant Sci. 20 (1):23-27.
- [36] Sampathkumar, T., Krishnasamy, S. Ramesh., S. Prabukumar, G. and R., Gobi. 2006. Growth, nutrient uptake and seed cotton yield of summer cotton as influenced by drip, surface irrigation methods and mulching practices. Research J. of Agri. and Biological Sci., 2 (6): 420-422.
- [37] Sawan, Z. M., M. H. Mahmoud and A. H. El-Guibali. 2006. Response of yield, yield components, and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.) to nitrogen fertilization and foliar-applied potassium and mepiquat chloride. The J. Cotton Science, 10:224–234.
- [38] Sawan, Z. M., S. A. Hafeez and A. E. Basyony. 2001. Effect of nitrogen fertilization and foliar application of plant growth retardants and zinc on cottonseed, protein and oil yields and oil properties of cotton. J. Agronomy & crop Sci., 186. 183 - 191.
- [39] Sayed, A. V. and H. A. Farahani. 2010. Effects of planting density and pattern on physiological growth indices in maize (Zea mays L.) under nitrogenous fertilizer application. J. of Agri. Ext. and Rural Dev. 2(3): 40-47.
- [40] Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie, 1986. Principles and procedure of statistics. A biometric approach, 2nd edition, Mc Graw Hill, Inc. Tokyo.
- [41] Subhan, M., H. U. Khan and R. O. Ahmed (2001). Population analysis of some agronomic and technological characteristics of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 1:120-123.
- [42] Wright, D.L., Marois, J.J., Wiatrak, P.J., Sprenkel, R.K., Tredaway, J.A., Rich, J.R. and Rhoads F.M. 2000. Production of ultra narrow row cottons SS-Ag\Gr-83. Agronomy Department, Florida Coop. Ext. Ser., Gainesville, FL
- [43] Wu FeiBo, Wu LaingHuan and Xu FuHua. 1998. Chlorophyll meter to predict nitrogen sidedress requirements for short-sesson cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Field crops res. 56, 309-14.

### Raheel Atif Hameed i Hakoomat Ali

# KVANTITATIVNA FIZIOLOŠKA, VEGETATIVNA I REPRODUKTIVNA ANALIZA Gossypium hirsutum NA OSNOVU UTICAJA SORTI I AZOTA

# SAŽETAK

Obavlieno je dvogodišnje istraživanje u cilju utvrđivanja uticaja odabira sorti i azota na razvoj i rast biljke pamuka (Gosssypium hirsutum) u polu-sušnim uslovima Punjabe, Pakistan. Azot i odabir sorte imaju značajnu ulogu u utvrđivanju prinosa biljke pod različitim ekološkim uslovima. To je osnovni konstituent zelene materije biljke, kao i prirode. Primjenjeni su različiti tretmani azotom, od nula, 60, 110 i 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> putem split aplikacije u zemljište, zajedno sa visokorodnim sortama pamuka (CIM-496, CIM-506 i CIM-534). Najznačajniji vegetativni kvalitet pamuka, tj. visina glavne stabljike biljke, kolenca po biljci, je ostvarila sorta CIM-534 tokom 2007. i 2008. godine. Međutim, fiziološki parametri, kao što su indeks površine lista (LAI), stopa rasta usjeva (CGR), stopa relativnog rasta (RGR) i stopa neto asimilacije (NAR), te reproduktivni parametri, kao što su ukupna suva biomasa (TDM), broj čaura pamuka po m<sup>-2</sup> i prinos sjemena pamuka, su ostavreni do maksimalnih vrijednosti od strane sorte CIM-496. Vegetativne, reproduktivne i fiziološke osobine su direktno proporcionalne sa povećanjem stope N po ha<sup>-1</sup>. Za bolji prinos i komponenete prinosa, optimalna je stopa azota od 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>u polusušnim uslovima.

**Ključne riječi:** sorte, *Gossypium hirsutum*, rast, azot, prinos i komponente prinosa